SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/08 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Lee County State: FL

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$10,451,413 PJ's Size Grouping*: C PJ Since (FY): 1992

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (| Percentile):* |
|---|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 29 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 90.85 % | 89.40 % | 8 | 90.66 % | 53 | 54 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 85.38 % | 78.68 % | 6 | 81.06 % | 60 | 63 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 1.19 | 7.14 | 20 | 4.62 | 25 | 19 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 76.28 % | 1 | 81.27 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 92.53 % | 55.86 % | 2 | 68.23 % | 89 | 92 |
| ow-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 100.00 % | 73.65 % | 1 | 79.86 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 100.00 % | 35.20 % | 1 | 44.82 % | 100 | 100 |
| _ease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 96.06 % | 1 | 94.65 % | 100 | 100 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In St | tate: 2 / 29 | Nation | ally: 98 | 98 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$35,268 | \$17,278 | | \$25,419 | 9 Units | 0.80 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$7,904 | \$18,401 | | \$14,530 | 1,036 Units | 94.90 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$13,401 | \$27,409 | | \$20,251 | 47 Units | 4.30 |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$3,304 | | \$3,156 | 0 Units | 0.00 |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (201 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (293 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Lee County FL

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects)

PJ: State:* National:** \$77,292 \$65,581 \$89,640

Homebuyer
292 \$55,814
581 \$94,489
640 \$72,481

\$39,971 \$35,058 \$22,984 **CHDO Operating Expenses:**

(% of allocation)

PJ: National Avg:

8.0

1.1 % 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index:

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|---|----------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| White: | 88.9 | 51.8 | 46.8 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 100.0 | 27.7 | 17.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 0.0 | 16.2 | 17.0 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 0.0 | 4.7 | 38.3 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 0.0 | 28.2 | 19.1 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 0.0 | 32.7 | 23.4 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.0 | 6.7 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 11.1 | 30.8 | 34.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | HOUSEHOLD SIZE: SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 88.9 | 21.7 | 34.0 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 0.0 | 0.2 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 0.0 | 23.6 | 23.4 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 11.1 | 22.9 | 14.9 | 0.0 | Other: | 77.8 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 0.0 | 18.7 | 23.4 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 22.2 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 0.0 | 8.2 | 4.3 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Complian | nt Units / Co | mpleted Un | its Since 200 |)1 |

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state



^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

HOME PROGRAM SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): | Lee County | State: | FL | Group Rank: | 98 |
|----------------------------------|------------|--------|----|--------------|----|
| | | | | (Percentile) | |

State Rank: 29 PJs

Overall Rank: 98 (Percentile)

Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags **Summary:**

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 73.60% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 47.54% | 92.53 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 100 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 90.20% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-Y | 'EARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 3.500 | 2.04 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.